1 2	Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8733			
3	7464 W Sahara Ave, STE 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	•		
4	(702) 586-3513 (702) 586-3690 FAX			
5	sly@stevenyarmylaw.com			
6	admin@yarmylaw.com Attorney for Debtor			
7				
	UNITED STATES B	SANKRUPTCY COURT		
8	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA		
9		·		
10	In re:	Case No.: 23-10410-MKN		
11	TRACY LEE HURST-CASTL,	Chapter 11		
12	D-14.	Subchapter V		
13	Debtor.	SubV Trustee: Brian Shapiro		
14		Hearing Date: TBD Hearing time: TBD		
15	OBJECTION TO PR	OOF OF CLAIMS NO. 6		
16		a Wildeveld & Associates		
17	Now comes the above-captioned debtor ar	nd debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors")		
18	by and through her undersigned attorney, Steven l	L. Yarmy, Esq. and files this Objection (the		
19	"Objection"), pursuant to United States Bankrupto			
20				
21		<u>DICTION</u>		
22	An objection to claim is a core preceding t	that a bankruptcy judge may hear and determine. 28		
23	U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B)			
24	STATEME	NT OF FACTS		
25	1. The Debtor filed a voluntary petition unde	r Chapter 11 under SubChapter V of the Bankruptcy		
26	Code on February 4, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), the	hereby commencing this present chapter 11 case.		
27				
28	James and the Claims register as Claim Paulice of Daw Offices			
	of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates.			

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Proof of Claim 6 was filed on February 28, 2023. See EXHIBIT "1."

4. The amount of claim stated in the Proof of Claim is shown as \$61,598.58 which is disputed in the Debtor's schedules.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

11 U.S. Code § 502 - Allowance of claims or interests

- (a) A claim or interest, proof of which is filed under section 501 of this title, is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest, including a creditor of a general partner in a partnership that is a debtor in a case under chapter 7 of this title, objects.
- (b) Except as provided in subsections (e)(2), (f), (g), (h) and (i) of this section, if such objection to a claim is made, the court, after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—
- (1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured;
 - (2) such claim is for unmatured interest;
- (3) if such claim is for a tax assessed against property of the estate, such claim exceeds the value of the interest of the estate in such property;
- (4) if such claim is for services of an insider or attorney of the debtor, such claim exceeds the reasonable value of such services;
- (5) such claim is for a debt that is unmatured on the date of the filing of the petition and that is excepted from discharge under section 523(a)(5) of this title;
- (6) if such claim is the claim of a lessor for damages resulting from the termination of a lease of real property, such claim exceeds—
- (A) the rent reserved by such lease, without acceleration, for the greater of one year, or 15 percent, not to exceed three years, of the remaining term of such lease, following the earlier of—
 - (i) the date of the filing of the petition; and
- (ii) the date on which such lessor repossessed, or the lessee surrendered, the leased property; plus
 - (B) any unpaid rent due under such lease, without acceleration, on the earlier of such dates;
- (7) if such claim is the claim of an employee for damages resulting from the termination of an employment contract, such claim exceeds—
- (A) the compensation provided by such contract, without acceleration, for one year following the earlier of—
 - (i) the date of the filing of the petition; or
- (ii) the date on which the employer directed the employee to terminate, or such employee terminated, performance under such contract; plus
- (B) any unpaid compensation due under such contract, without acceleration, on the earlier of such dates;
- (8) such claim results from a reduction, due to late payment, in the amount of an otherwise applicable credit available to the debtor in connection with an employment tax on wages, salaries, or commissions earned from the debtor; or
- (9) proof of such claim is not timely filed, except to the extent tardily filed as permitted under paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of section 726(a) of this title or under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy

- 2 -

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

Procedure, except that a claim of a governmental unit shall be timely filed if it is filed before 180 days after the date of the order for relief or such later time as the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure may provide, and except that in a case under chapter 13, a claim of a governmental unit for a tax with respect to a return filed under section 1308 shall be timely if the claim is filed on or before the date that is 60 days after the date on which such return was filed as required.

In Re Heath

We are not persuaded by the minority view or by Debtors' arguments. First, we are bound by the plain meaning of the statute. Section 501(a) provides that a "creditor or an indenture trustee may file a proof of claim." 11 U.S.C. § 501(a). Section 502(a) states that a claim filed under Section 501 "is deemed allowed" unless an objection is made. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Section 502(b) states that if an objection to a claim is made, then the court "shall" determine the amount of such claim and "shall allow such claim" except to the extent that one of the limited grounds for disallowance is established. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) (emphasis added). Noncompliance with Rule 3001(c) is not one of the statutory grounds for disallowance. The minority decisions do not explain how they can disregard this statutory mandate. The statute's provisions cannot be enlarged or reduced by the Rules. See Dove-Nation v. eCast Settlement Corp. (In re Dove-Nation), 318 B.R. 147, 150-51 (8th Cir. BAP 2004) ("Section 502(b) sets forth the sole grounds for objecting to a claim and directs the court to allow the claim unless one of the exceptions applies" and "[t]he rules are designed to supplement the statute, not replace it.") (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2075) (emphasis added); Cluff, 313 B.R. at 331-340; In re Shank, 315 B.R. 799, 801 (Bankr.N.D.Ga.2004) ("[T]here is no reason to require amendment of claims when, as here, there is no showing that there are any disputes about the debtor's liability on the claims or their amounts."); Kemmer, 315 B.R. at 716 ("[A]s long as the creditor has presented some evidence to substantiate the claim, the objecting party must have a basis for challenging the validity of the claim."); In re Mazzoni, 318 B.R. 576, 578 n. 12 (Bankr.D.Kan.2004) (following Cluff, Shank, and Kemmer); In re Guidry, 321 B.R. 712, 714 (Bankr.N.D.III.2005) (same).

Second, there is nothing in the statutory scheme that violates due process. The procedure for claims allowance or disallowance is designed to be speedy and inexpensive, and Section 502(a) deems claims allowed. The purpose of Rule 3001(f) is not to undermine this approach or create an independent reason to disallow claims but to permit the proof of claim itself to act similar to a verified complaint and have an independent evidentiary effect. Cluff, 313 B.R. at 332; Garner, 246 B.R. at 622 (evidentiary effect of proof of claim is "similar to that of a verified complaint"). In fact, a proof of claim has been said to have more weight than a verified pleading because it is signed under penalty of up to \$500,000.00 or up to five years in prison, or both, for fraudulent claims. See Official Form 10 (citing 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571); Cluff, 313 B.R. at 337-38. If the proof of claim is not entitled to prima facie validity then it may have lesser evidentiary weight or none at all, but unless there is a factual dispute that is irrelevant: *In Re Heath*, 331 B.R. 424 (9th Cir. BAP 2005).

The filing of a proof of claim is analogous to filing a complaint in the bankruptcy case. See Brosio v. Deutsche Bank Nat'l Trust Co. (In re Brosio), 505 B.R. 903, 912-13 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2014) ("The filing of a proof of claim is analogous to filing a complaint in the bankruptcy case. United States v. Levoy (In re Levoy), 182 B.R. 827, 833 n. 5 (9th Cir. BAP 1995); Smith v. Dowden, 47 F.3d 940, 943 (8th Cir.1995); Simmons v. Savell (In re Simmons), 765 F.2d 547, 552 (5th Cir.1985); Nortex Trading Corp. v. Newfield, 311 F.2d 163, 164 (2d Cir.1962); In re Edwards Theatres Circuit, Inc., 281 B.R. 675, 681 (Bankr.C.D.Cal.2002). And a claim objection by the debtor is analogous to an answer. O'Neill v. Cont'l Airlines (In re Cont'l Airlines), 928 F.2d 127, 129 (5th Cir.1991) ("[T]he filing of a proof of claim is analogous to the filing of a complaint in a civil action, with the bankrupt's objection the same as the answer.") (citing Simmons and Nortex Trading Corp.);In re Cruisephone, Inc., 278 B.R. 325, 330 (Bankr.E.D.N.Y.2002) ("In the bankruptcy context, a proof of claim filed by a creditor is conceptually analogous to a civil complaint, an objection to the claim is akin to an answer

or defense and an adversary proceeding initiated against the creditor that filed the proof of claim is like a counterclaim."). To challenge the allowance of the claim pursuant to Code § 502(b), the objecting party need only file an objection pursuant to the applicable rules. See *In re Mazyck*, 521 B.R. 726 (Bankr. D. S.C. 2014).

"Congress intended to disqualify a creditor whenever there is an legitimate basis for the deb

"Congress intended to disqualify a creditor whenever there is an legitimate basis for the debtor not paying the debt, whether that basis is factual or legal." In re TPG Troy, LLC, 492 B.R. 150, 159 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2013) (quoting Key Mech. Inc. v. BDC 56 LLC (In re BDC 56 LLC), 330 F.3d 111, 117-18 (2nd Cir. 2003). See *In re Honolulu Affordable Hous. Partners, LLC*, Case No.: 15-00146, at *11 n.33 (Bankr. D. Haw. May. 7, 2015).

"Claim allowance" is determined by section 502, which establishes the amount of the creditor's allowed claim. Section 502 does not address the status or treatment of a secured claim in a case, but merely creates a threshold for determining whether an asserted claim or interest is eligible for distribution from the estate, and if so, in what amount.

Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) provides that a proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with the Bankruptcy Rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f).

In accordance with section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the validity and amount of the claim shall be determined as of the date of the filing of the bankruptcy petition. Section 502(b)(1) requires disallowance of a claim if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured..." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1).

The "applicable law" referenced in section 502(b)(1) includes bankruptcy law as well as other federal and state laws. See Cavaliere v. Sapir, 208 B.R. 784, 786-87 (D. Conn. 1997) (providing that "applicable law" includes bankruptcy law). A debtor is therefore allowed to raise any federal or state

law defenses to a claim. See In re G.I. Industries, Inc., 204 F.3d 1276, 1281 (9th Cir. 2000) (stating that a claim cannot be allowed under section 502(b)(1) if it is unenforceable under nonbankruptcy law); Johnson v. Righetti, 756 F.2d 738, 741 (9th Cir. 1985) (finding that the validity of the claim may be determined under state law); *In re Eastview Estates II*, 713 F.2d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 1983) (applying California law).

Under Rule 3001(f), a filed proof of claim "constitutes prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim" which, via § 502(a), is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.,223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir.2000); In re Morrow, 03.2 IBCR 100, 101, 2003 WL 25273857 (Bankr.D.Idaho 2003). If an objection to a proof of claim is made, the Code instructs the Court to conduct a hearing, to determine the amount of the claim, and to allow the claim, except to the extent that "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured[.]" § 502(b)(1). *In re Davis*, 554 B.R. 918, 921 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2016).

The Proof of Claim #6 (POC 6) has several problems.

- 1. POC 6 has an ITEMIZED STATEMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND ADDITIONAL FEES. The statement includes amounts totaling \$8,422.70. (POC 6 pages 7,8, and 9). However, POC 6 is claiming \$61,598.58 with evidence that these amounts exist.
- 2. The Retainer agreement attached to POC 6 is a criminal retainer. This is not a valid retainer for services the creditor claims to have performed.
- 3. The \$600.00 per hour fee the Creditor bills has no support in either a retainer or documentation.
- 4. The Debtor was not aware of the number of charges and the hourly charge of \$600.00 until after conclusion of the purported civil matter.

1 11 U.S. Code § 502 (b)(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the 2 debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is 3 contingent or unmatured. Simply put, the Creditor is attempting to collect on a Debt with little or no 4 proof. 5 The Declaration of Debtor in Support of this Objection is attached hereto as EXHIBIT "2." 6 **CONCLUSION** 7 For the foregoing, the Debtor respectfully requests that this Court disallow the Creditors 8 9 claim in its entirety. 10 Dated: May 11, 2023. 11 Respectfully Submitted: 12 /s/ Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. 13 Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8733 14 7464 W Sahara Ave, STE 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 15 (702) 586-3513 16 (702) 586-3690 FAX sly@stevenyarmylaw.com 17 admin@yarmylaw.com Attorney for Debtor 18 /// 19 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY under penalty of perjury that true and correct copies of the foregoing 3 OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIMS NO. 6. were delivered via CM/ECF and US Mail First 4 Class Postage Prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada on May 11, 2023, and properly addressed to the 5 following: 6 **CM/ECF** system to: 7 8 MICHAEL W. CHEN on behalf of Creditor DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE, IN TRUST FOR REGISTERED HOLDERS OF LONG BEACH 9 MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-6, ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-6 bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com, 10 mchen@ecf.courtdrive.com;nvbkcourt@mccarthyholthus.com;mchen@mccarthyholthus.com 11 LISA A RASMUSSEN on behalf of Creditor THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD 12 & ASSOCIATES Lisa@veldlaw.com, alex@veldlaw.com 13 BRIAN D. SHAPIRO 14 brian@trusteeshapiro.com, nv22@ecfcbis.com;kristin@trusteeshapiro.com;carolyn@brianshapirolaw.com 15 16 U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 11 USTPRegion17.lv.ecf@usdoj.gov 17 JUSTIN CHARLES VALENCIA on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 11 18 justin.c.valencia@usdoi.gov 19 SHADD A. WADE on behalf of Creditor FCI LENDER SERVICES, INC. DBA FCI 20 swade@zbslaw.com, shunsaker@zbslaw.com.nvbankruptcv@zbslaw.com 21 VIA US Mail, First Class Mail. Postage Prepaid: 22 The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates 550 E. Charleston Blvd. 23 Suite A 24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 25 /s/ Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. Steven L. Yarmy, Esq. 26 Nevada Bar No. 8733 27 7464 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 891117 28 | (702) 586-3513

```
1
        (702) 586-3690 FAX
       sly@stevenyarmylaw.com
Attorney for Creditor LVNV Funding, LLC
its successors and assigns as assignee of Citibank N.A.
  2
  3
       ///
  4
  5
       ///
  6
       ///
  7
       ///
  8
       ///
  9
       ///
10
       ///
11
12
       ///
13
       ///
14
       ///
15
       ///
16
       ///
17
       ///
18
       ///
19
20
      ///
21
      ///
22
       ///
23
      ///
24
       ///
25
       ///
26
27
28
```

1,

EXHIBIT "1"

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 11 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 1 of 10

Fill in this information to identify the case:							
Debtor 1 TRACY LEE HURST CASTL							
Debtor 2 (Spouse, if filing)							
United States Bankruptcy Court for the: District of Nevada							
Case number <u>23-10410-mkn</u>							

Official Form 410

Proof of Claim

04/22

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. Do not send original documents; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

P	art 1: Identify the C	laim				
1.	Who is the current creditor?	The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim) Other names the creditor used with the debtor				
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	No ☐ Yes. From whom?				
3.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)			
	Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associate Name 550 E. Charleston Blvd., Suite A	S Name			
-		Number Street	Number Street			
		Las Vegas NV 89104 City State ZIP Code	City State ZIP Code			
		Contact phone <u>(702)222-0007</u>	Contact phone			
		Contact email Lisa@veldlaw.com	Contact email			
		Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you t	use one):			
4.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	No ☐ Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _	Filed on			
5.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who made the earlier filing?				

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 12 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 2 of 10

5. Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?		btor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:
7. How much is the claim?	\$ <u>61,598.58</u>	Does this amount include interest or other charges? ☐ No ☐ Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).
3. What is the basis of the claim?	Attach redacted copies of any	v loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). at is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.
. Is all or part of the claim secured?	No Pes. The claim is secured Nature of property: Real estate. If the Atta Motor vehicle Other. Describe:	e claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim</i> achment (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .
,	Basis for perfection Attach redacted copi example, a mortgage been filed or recorde	es of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for e, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has
	Value of property:	\$ n that is secured: \$
		n that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and unsecured amounts should match the amount in line 7.
	Amount necessary	to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$
	Annual Interest Rat Fixed Variable	e (when case was filed)%
). Is this claim based on a lease?	☑ No ☐ Yes. Amount necessary to	o cure any default as of the date of the petition.
. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	No Yes. Identify the property: _	

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 13 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 3 of 10

12. Is all or part of the claim	₩ No				
entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?	Yes. Chec	k one:			Amount entitled to priority
A claim may be partly priority and partly	Domes 11 U.S	tic support obligations .C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a	(including alimony and cla)(1)(B).	hild support) unde	s <u> </u>
nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.	Up to 9 person	33,350* of deposits tow al, family, or household	rard purchase, lease, or r d use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)	ental of property o	or services for \$
,	bankru	, salaries, or commissi ptcy petition is filed or .C. § 507(a)(4).	ons (up to \$15,150*) earn the debtor's business end	ned within 180 day ds, whichever is e	ys before the arlier. \$
			overnmental units. 11 U.S	S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$
	☐ Contrib	outions to an employee	benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. §	507(a)(5).	\$
			11 U.S.C. § 507(a)() th		\$
	* Amounts	are subject to adjustment	on 4/01/25 and every 3 year	s after that for cases	s begun on or after the date of adjustment.
Part 3: Sign Below					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The person completing this proof of claim must	Check the appr	•			
sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).	☐ I am the cr	editor. editor's attorney or aut	harizad acont		
If you file this claim	_		-	ankruntov Dula 30	70 <i>4</i>
electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts	I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004. I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.				
to establish local rules specifying what a signature					
is.	I understand that an authorized signature on this <i>Proof of Claim</i> serves as an acknowledgment that when calculating the				
A person who files a	amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.				
fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5	I have examined the information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.				
years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.				
	Executed on da	te <u>02/28/2023</u> MM / DD / YYYY			
	/=/1:==/	D			
	Signature	A. Rasmussen			- -
	Drint the name	of the mane and the te			
	Print the name	of the person who is	completing and signing	g this claim:	
	Name	Lisa	Α	0.00	Rasmussen
		First name	Middle name		Last name
	Title	Attorney, Senio			
	Company		s of Kristina Wildeve servicer as the company if th		
	Address	550 E. Charles	ton Blvd., Suite A		
		Las Vegas,		NV	89104
		City		State	ZIP Code
	Contact phone	(702)222-0007	·	Email	Lisa@veldlaw.com

ITEMIZED STATEMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND ADDITIONAL FEES

The principle amount owed by Debtor is \$59,998.59. See Exhibit A.

Debtor agreed that an additional fee of \$50.00 would be applied to her balance each month that she missed a payment. See Exhibit B.

Debtor retained Creditor in April 2020 and made payments in the months of April 2020 and September 2020. See Exhibit A. Prior to the filing of the Petition in this case on February 4, 2023, Debtor missed making thirty-two (32) monthly payments, as follows:

TRACY LEE HURST CASTL PAYMENTS

NP = No Payment Made

P = Payment Made

2020	2021	2022	2023
	January – NP	January – NP	January – NP
	February – NP	February – NP	
	March - NP	March - NP	
April – P	April - NP	April - NP	
May – NP	May – NP	May – NP	
June – NP	June – NP	June – NP	
July – NP	July – NP	July – NP	
August – NP	August – NP	August – NP	
September – P	September $-NP$	September – NP	
October – NP	October – NP	October – NP	
November – NP	November – NP	November – NP	
December – NP	December – NP	December – NP	

At \$50.00 per each of the thirty-two (32) unpaid months, Debtor's delinquent payment fees total \$1,600.00 (\$50.00 x 32 months). See Exhibits A and B.

Debtor's total amount owed, \$59,998.58 (principal) plus \$1,600.00 (fees), is \$61,598.58.

500 E Charleston Blvd., Suite A Las Vegas, NV 89104 702-222-0007 **Tax ID:**

January 05, 2021

Tracey Hurst Hurst-Castl, Tracy

Invoice Number: 234

Invoice Period: 09-01-2020 - 01-05-2021

Payment Terms: Upon Receipt

RE: Castl vs PennyMaC

Time Details

Date	Professional	Description	Hours	Rate	Amount
09-30-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Reviewed Pennymac's Proposal order.	0.20	600.00	120.00
10-01-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Review order to prepare for meeting	0.20	600.00	120.00
10-01-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Meeting with Tracy and Johnnie.	1.00	600.00	600.00
10-02-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Email correspondence to and from opposing counsel (x8) regarding proposed order.	0.20	600.00	120.00
10-02-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Revise Pennymac's proposed order detailed comments.	3.20	600.00	1,920.00
10-03-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Emails to and from opposing counsel regarding my revisions.	0.30	600.00	180.00
10-04-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Prepare our own proposed order; research on standing issue.	4.30	600.00	2,580.00
10-05-2020	Lisa	Emails with opposing counsel and Tracy	0.30	600.00	180.00
		We appreciate your business and your confidence in our	r firm.	Page	1 of 5

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 16 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 6 of 10

		-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 6 of 10			
Date	Professional	Description	Hours	Rate	Amount
	Rasmussen	regarding proposed order.			
10-06-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Revisions to FFCOL per emails with Brittany Wood; email regarding same.	y 0.50	600.00	300.00
10-06-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Additional research on standing issue	1.00	600.00	600.00
10-06-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Additional revisions and emails with Brittany Email to client.	. 0.30	600.00	180.00
10-07-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Prepare our own proposed order and submit to the Court as a contested order.	0.70	600.00	420.00
10-09-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Additional research on standing issue; there is no good case law supporting our position.	s 0.90	600.00	540.00
10-27-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Meeting with Tracy requesting plan for paymen of legal fees. No charge for this meeting.	t 0.70		No Charge
11-13-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Email correspondence to and from Rex Gardner asking if we can resolve; email to Tracy re same.	, 0.10	600.00	60.00
11-16-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Follow up emails to Rex letting him know conveyed his message about proposed resolution.		600.00	60.00
11-30-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Email correspondence to and from Tracy regarding proposed resolution.	/ 0.10	600.00	60.00
12-04-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Review proposed findings of fact, conclusions or law and order; review notice entry of judgment.	f 0.20	600.00	120.00
12-04-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Emails to Tracy with order, findings, notice or entry of judgment, advising of deadline for appeal.	f 0.10 r	600.00	60.00
12-18-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Email from Tracy; prepare sub of attorney and email to her.	0.30	600.00	180.00
12-30-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Email correspondence to and from Tracy regarding sub of attorney; file sub of attorney. No charge for this.	0.20		No Charge
12-30-2020	Lisa Rasmussen	Download all emails; organize and prepare electronic file on flash drive for Tracy. Courtesy no charge for this.	e 1.00		No Charge
			Total		8,400.00
Time Sumr	narv		. •		-,
J	·· y				

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 17 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 7 of 10

Professional			Hours	Amount
Lisa Rasmussen			15.90	8,400.00
		Total		8,400.00
Expenses				
Expense	Description	Price	Qty	Amount
E112 - Court fees	E112 - Court fees	3.50	1	3.50
E112 - Court fees	E112 - Court fees	3.50	1	3.50
None	Pacer Quarterly Charges - 3rd Qtr. (157 pp).	15.70	1	15.70
		Total Exp	enses	22.70
		Total for this In	voice	8,422.70

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 18 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 8 of 10

Client Statement of Account

As of 08-31-2021

Matter	<u> </u>			Balance Due
Casti vs GS				6,886.30
Castl vs Pen	пуМаС			59,998.58
		Total An	nount to Pay	66,884.88
				, ,
Castl vs G				
Transactio	ns			
Date	Transaction	Applied	Invoice	Amount
09-29-2020	Previous Balance			6,886.30
			Balance	6,886.30
Open Invoi	ces and Credits			
Date	Transaction	Amount	Applied	Balance
09-29-2020	Invoice 252	6,886.30		6,886.30
<u>.</u>	NAME STANDARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		Balance	6,886.30
Castl vs Pe	ennyMaC		11111111	
Transactio	ns	The second secon		
Date	Transaction	Applied	Invoice	Amount
09-29-2020	Previous Balance			51,575.88
01-05-2021	Invoice 234			8,422.70
			Balance	59,998.58
Open Invoi	ces and Credits			
Date	Transaction	Amount	Applied	Balance
04-14-2020	Payment	(2,500.00)		(2,500.00)
04-20-2020	Payment	(2,500.00)	•	(2,500.00)
09-10-2020	Payment	(2,000.00)		(2,000.00)
09-29-2020	Invoice 206	58,575.88		58,575.88
01-05-2021	Invoice 234	8,422.70		8,422.70
				•

Tracey Hurst Hurst-Castl, Tracy

January 05, 2021

The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates 500 E Charleston Blvd., Suite A Las Vegas, NV 89104

Invoice Number: 234

Invoice Period: 09-01-2020 - 01-05-2021

REMITTANCE COPY

RE: Casti vs PennyMaC

 Fees
 8,400.00

 Expenses
 22.70

Total for this Invoice
Previous Balance

8,422.70 58,462.18

 Matter
 Balance Due

 Castl vs GS
 6,886.30

 Castl vs PennyMaC
 59,998.58

Total Amount to Pay

66,884.88

Open Invoices and Credits

Date	Transaction	Matter	Amount	Applied	Balance
04-14-2020	Payment	Castl vs PennyMaC	(2,500,00)		(2,500.00)
04-20-2020	Payment	Castl vs PennyMaC	(2,500.00)		(2,500.00)
09-10-2020	Payment	Castl vs PennyMaC	(2,000.00)		(2,000.00)
09-29-2020	Invoice 206	Casti vs PennyMaC	58,575.88		58,575.88
09-29-2020	Invoice 252	Castl vs GS	6,886.30		6,886.30
01-05-2021	Invoice 234	Castl vs PennyMaC	8,422.70		8,422.70
				Balance	66,884,88

Case 23-10410-mkn Doc 91 Entered 05/11/23 14:30:49 Page 20 of 48 Case 23-10410-mkn Claim 6 Filed 02/28/23 Page 10 of 10

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES	DATE: 4/14/20
www.veldlaw.com CLIENT INFORM	Referred By:
First Name: Middle Name:	Last Name:
TRACY Ce	1/ Last Name:
	HURST- UST
Date of Birth: 60 SSN: 133	162 ID#:
P.0 . 2000 0373/	e Phone (702) 739-4464
Other Oct Work	(Phone ()
Other Contacts 1. Name Yelly Hust 2. Name Jahnne Cast/ Phone Hamme Cast/	3 682-2779 Relationship Son 8 330-8819 Relationship X hashara
Employer of Client Phone() Monthly Salary \$
US Citizen? Y N Place of Birth: Turbunk (17)	If no, what is your status?
COURT DATE(S):	
1 Case No Court Proceeding	Date Time
2 Case No. Court Proceeding CHARGES	Date Time
1	RIORS (If any)
2	Year
3	Year Year
	1 501
retainer paid upfront; remaining balance payable at a rate of at least \$30 below. Any outstanding balance/fees owed are due no later than sevidenial/dismissal of charges or whichever occurs first, regardless of any payr when received and will not be held in Trust. The Law Firm understands all fit otherwise specifically stated herein, this agreement does not include repres to prepare or file an appeal or to defend any re-filed charge(s). Proceedings fees. This fee does not include any applicable charges that may be incur discovery fees, filling fees, witness fees, investigator costs, collection costs responsible for all fees incurred and must pay all fees upon notice of the intellectual property of The Firm and are non-transferable or discoverable. These are paid in full. Abuse and Neglect representation is for a 1 year period \$75.00 fee to pull closed files plus copy fees of \$0.35 per page.	ment plan scheduled. All criminal retainers are deemed earned unds used as payment are derived from a legal source. Unless entation at Trial or obligate the Law Firm or member attorneys is not included in this agreement will incur additional attorney's red, including but not limited to restitution, fines, court fees, travel fees, or any other miscellaneous fees. Client is solely he same. Pardons and Parole Petitions are considered the The client file is the property of The Law Firm unless all of the d. Additional time will require an additional contract. There is a
AGREEMENT FOR REPRESENTATION: I HEREBY RETAIN	(RISTINA WILDEVELD, ESQ. & ASSOCIATES ("Law Firm")
designated Fee Co-Payer(s) agree(s) to pay the Law Firm a retainer fee as the specified legal services in connection with the matter(s) indicated here! may associate or employ other counsel or marketing representative at the Law Firm a retainer fee as the specified legal services in connection with the matter(s) indicated here! may associate or employ other counsel or marketing representative at the Law Firm a set of the matter for any associate or employ of the promise to pay as set forth understand that no guarantee has been made regarding the outcome of my not affect attorney fees owed or any applicable fees incurred. I furthe contract/payment plan and understand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee month until payments resume. [INIT]. I also understand that if I reserves the right to discontinue any payment plan set and demand the original withdraw as counsel for Client if fees are not promptly paid. [INIT]. I further understand withdraw as counsel for Client if fees are not promptly paid. [INIT]. I further understand withdraw as counsel for Client if fees are not promptly paid. [INIT]. I further understand withdraw as counsel for Client if fees are not promptly paid. [INIT]. I further understand withdraw as counsel for Client if fees are not promptly paid. [INIT]. I gasounderstand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee charged for each additional status check. [INIT]. I gasounderstand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee charged for each additional status check. [INIT]. I gasounderstand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee charged for each additional status check. [INIT]. I und \$750.00 per hour for any fee dispute. [INIT]. By signing below, I here counter the payment and the promptly paid. [INIT]. I gasounderstand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee charged for each additional status check. [INIT]. I gasounderstand that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee month and the original status check. [INIT]. By signing below, I here countered that if I miss a payment, a \$50.00 fee month and the	in. I understand that the Law Firm C DC CC MO CK: aw Firm's discretion provided there Payment Dates: 1.
Attorney Signature Sea Claure	<u> </u>
Kristina Wildeveld Dayvid Figler Lisa Rasmussen Caltlyn McAmis J 550 E. Charleston Blvd., Suite A · Las Vegas, Nevada 89104	im Hoffman Eva Romero Bank of America: 9642

Phone: (702) 222-0007 · Fax: (702) 222-0001 contact@veldlaw.com

EXHIBIT "2"

DECLARATION OF TRACY LEE HURST-CASTL, DEBTOR'S OBJECTION AGAINST UNSECURED CREDITOR THE LAW OFFICE OF THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES ADAMATLEY DISPUTES THEIR PROOF OF CLAIM #6

I, Tracy L. Hurst-Castl, am over the age of 18 and make this declaration under penalty of perjury, and based on my personal knowledge to be true facts. I am the Debtor in this matter and make this DECLARATION TO SUPPORT MY OBJECTION AND DISPUTE THE FILED PROOF OF CLAIM #6 AGAINST UNSECURED CREDITOR, BASED ON THESE FACTS THE LAW OFFICE OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES PROOF OF CLAIM #6 SHOULD BE REJECTED, DENIED AND DEEMED INVALID DUE TO INTENTIONAL MALICIOUS MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUDULENTLY ALTERING A SIGNED DOCUMENT AFTER EXECUTION.

I adamantly dispute and challenge the validity of The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates filed Proof of Claim #6 in this case for amount of \$61,598.58 that they claim I allegedly owe.

DEBTOR'S INITIAL INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW OFFICE OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES

On April 7, 2020 our introduction was via phone to Ms. Kristina Wildeveld by Dr. Stephanie Youngblood, a mutual friend to file a medical malpractice

complaint. Ms. Wildeveld stated that she was able to represent me being that she was licensed in California and could address the gross negligence of the Cedar Sinai surgeons leaving a screw and sponge in my head causing serious infection and complications that ultimately progressed developing into life threatening sepsis and osteomyelitis, a rare bone infection that required removing the front portion of my skull/bone causing a large opened wound exposing my brain.

On April 9, 2020 I visited Wildevelds' office for an in-person free consultation with Attorneys Lisa Rasmussen and Kristina Wildeveld to solely discuss the medical malpractice matter. I also disclosed that I was still suffering from a cranial disability that continued to affect my physical, emotional, and cognitive state still being under the care of the Florida surgeons that saved my life; who discovered and removed the screw and sponge from my head while performing the latest emergency surgical procedure (4th surgery) and evidenced in my medical reports and the numerous letters from my surgeons describing the same.

During this consultation we discussed the details of clear medical malpractice and that Dr. Lauren Schwartz, my neurosurgeon was willing to testify on my behalf to verify that she found gross negligence and clear medical malpractice from the prior Cedar Sinai surgeons. The main matter was to initiate

a medical malpractice lawsuit in California with their colleague "Josh" who would co-counsel from their Los Angeles office.

Attorney's Wildeveld and Rasmussen had me sign a HIPPA release authorizing them to retrieve additional medical records then in turn I provided them a hard copy of my medical history and records from my latest hospital stay at Good Samaritan Medical Center. They agreed to protect my physical, emotional, and cognitive challenged condition and foremost file a timely malpractice complaint mutually agreeing to a contingent fee basis and filing within the statute of limitations. Thereafter unknown to me they broke their agreement failing to honor their promise to protect my interest while being a most vulnerable client.

During this consultation I incidentally discussed my ongoing Castl vs.

Pennymac civil case and my concerns about my then Attorney Mr. Michaelidis missing critical deadlines jeopardizing my case. Ms. Rasmussen stated that he has been in trouble before and is not a good attorney instilling fear of loss that he would continue to damage my case. After listening to Ms. Rasmussen I felt I was being harmed and compromised by Mr. Michaelidis. I then asked if it would be possible for Ms. Rasmussen to replace him to preserve my case and to request a continuance of the trial date due to my medical crisis, being that I required an

additional surgery to address the open wound needing a revision and missing a portion of my skull. Ms. Rasmussen stated she would need to do additional research and would call me.

On April 13, 2020 I received a call from Ms. Wideveld and Ms. Rasmussen collectively on speaker phone wanting to address the Pennymac case. They agreed to substitute in the case however they would require a \$50K retainer to do so. I suggested that they could lien the medical malpractice case, Ms. Wildeveld stated she does not do that. I explained that my financial resources were exhausted and that I would ask for assistance from my family and get back to her. I immediately called Dr. Stephanie Youngblood in an emotional state explaining to her that I could not possibly pay their requested fee. I only wanted them to continue the case and push the trial up until I was able to get through my medical crisis and additional surgery. Dr. Youngblood told me that she would address the excessive fee with Ms. Wildeveld she explain my situation then asked her for a friend of a friend favor. Shortly thereafter I received a call from Ms. Wildeveld stating that she "overshot her assessment" and would take a flat fee of \$5K to take over the Castl vs. Pennymac case. I also informed her that I would be able to pay her in two \$2500 installments, she agreed. Dr. Youngblood planned to testify on my behalf to these facts however, my attorney Mr. Marc Applbaum who was

defending me against their lawsuit failed to preserve Ms. Youngblood's testimony; sadly she passed away from cancer on August 31, 2022.

Attorneys Wildeveld and Rasmussen where fully informed since our introduction that I possessed no foreseeable financial means living collectively on family contributions, speculative thinking that a possible medical malpractice settlement would be reached with possible financial reward in the future.

On April 14, 2020 I hand delivered \$2500 to Ms. Wildeveld's office, and agreed to deliver the balance of \$2500 on April 20, 2020. I was presented a generic fee agreement/document from her receptionist and was asked to fill out my personal information. Upon paying her the first installment she made certain notations on the document/agreement documenting the \$2500 in the bottom right hand corner. Their hourly rate was left blank and it also lacked identification of either case and the \$2000 monthly payments were not noted in my presence or upon signing. I trusted that Wildeveld Law Firm would protect my best interest knowing I was extremely vulnerable being cognitively challenged with a cranial disability. I assumed such based on the referral from our mutual friend Dr. Stephanie Youngblood that they would protect me. Upon signing the document I was not given a copy, the receptionist stated that Ms. Rasmussen would sign it and thereafter would provide me a copy.

On April 20, 2020 Johnnie delivered \$2500 to Wildeveld's office and received a paper receipt "Johnnie Castl c/o Tracy Castl \$2500.00 Attorney Fee". The receptionist failed to document this final installment on the document/fee agreement, he was only provided a paper receipt. Additionally on the same day I emailed Ms. Wildeveld to confirm that the balance was paid in full as follows:

Subject "RE: Payment paid in full today:)

"Hi Kristine, Johnnie informed me that he came in and paid you the full amount I promised. I'm so thankful he took care of my obligation to you. I'm big on keeping my word and he knows this. Although I have been disheartened by "family" I'm grateful he was able to make sure you were paid. Big relief for me." (Exhibit A)

Neither Ms. Wildeveld nor Ms. Rasmussen disputed or negated this email nor did they have the courtesy to respond and did not inform me otherwise. At no time during their representation did I receive from their office collection calls nor was I informed that I agreed to pay \$2000 per month payments nor was I provided monthly billing statements requesting payment and I was not sent any past due notices demanding a monthly payment, nor did they threatened to withdraw from either case for me failing to make monthly payments that were supposedly mutually agreed upon.

Furthermore Ms. Rasmussen failed to itemize this April 20, 2020 email and intentionally omitted it from her billing statement. She also omitted September 1, 2020 email as follows:

"Hi Tracy, I did get the documents from Johnnie, I went through some, but not all. I filed these two things today: 1. Motion to Continue Trial; 2. Plaintiff's pretrial memorandum. Also attached is the Defendant's pretrial memorandum. Lisa". (Exhibit B)

Ms. Rasmussen at the last minute drafted and filed a Motion to Continue

Trial knowing it would be denied, but only to create more billable hours. She

failed to notify Judge Johnson of the status of my health condition 60 days prior

to the scheduled trial date of September 8, 2020 as the Judge requested.

On May 5, 2020, Ms. Rasmussen sent me an email:

Good morning folks:

New Trial Date is September 8, 2020.

Calendar Call is 8/19/20 at 8:30 a.m.

Pretrial Submissions due May 26, 2020 (to PennyMac)

Pretrial memorandum to be submitted on or before August 19, 2020 (to chambers)

If there is a medical issue that causes us to not be able to comply with the September 8th trial date, we must file something 60 days in Advance, which would be by July 8th.

Judge Johnson would like to see Tracy pursue local options for the follow up surgery, he does not know why she needs to travel to Florida. Just passing along what he said.

So, we can breath for a moment.

Lisa (Exhibit C)

Ms. Rasmussen displayed an attitude of complete indifference to my life-threatening illness, ignored my debilitating health concerns, and failed to protect my interests, evidenced by several emails dated July 6, 2020, August 4, 2020 and August 24, 2020 (evidenced and supported by numerous doctor letters) they fully informed her of my serious health conditions and restrictions.

Ms. Rasmussen was grossly negligent when she intentionally ignored Judge Johnson's request to update the court about the status of my medical condition subjecting me to unnecessary interrogation forcing me into trial that would only financial benefit her law firm. Her only concern was to create more billable hours without my knowledge as itemized on her invoice without a signed fee agreement, the firm falsely billed for fraudulent fees as reflected on Invoice Nos. 196, 206 and 234; she purposely failed to notified the Judge allowing her work to continue, accumulating costly fees creating more billable hours with no intention on continuing the trial date. I was lead to believe that she was handling the Judges request and notified him accordingly per his request. She secretly continued to prepare for trial drafting unnecessary and costly motions that were not warranted if she would have simply adhered to the Judges request the work would have halted. Additionally I notified Ms. Rasmussen by email providing her numerous doctor letters' evidencing my inability to participate in a trial, this was

unfair and prejudicial. She had complete disregard for my fragile medical and emotional condition demonstrating her only motivation was to create a huge billing invoice despite knowing my financial condition. For example she charged me this fee below to draft her last minute motion knowing it would fail and be denied, as documented on her billing invoice as follows:

9-01-2020 Lisa Rasmussen

Draft Motion to continue trial & prepare all exhibits, including declaration; review docs from client

HOURS: 5.00 RATE: \$600.00 AMOUNT: \$3,000.00 (see billing Invoice number 206)

Ms. Rasmussen was also grossly negligent when she purposely withheld the fact from me that she <u>DID NOT</u> notify the Judge and purposely defied his request including ignoring my July 6, 2020 and July 8, 2020 emails showing concern that the court needed to be notified within the courts stated timeframe her actions are inexcusable and malicious.

My email to Ms. Rasmussen dated July 6, 2020:

"I was worried about the July 8th deadline for a motion if not medically able to participate with trial in September keeping in compliance with the Judge." (Exhibit D)

Ms. Rasmussen's responded with a question as follows:

"I'm not sure what to tell the court, maybe request an extension of this deadline?"

My email on July 8, 2020 responding to her question:

"Sorry for this delayed response. Yes I think it's best we ask for an extension at this point. We don't really have a choice."

Ms. Rasmussen's clear intention was to deceitfully force me to participate in a stressful trial against doctor's advice as evidenced by several letters; this also allowed her to create more billing hours even though she knew there was not a signed fee agreement to proceed with a costly trial. Additionally despite knowing my inability to pay which allowed her to deceptively seek unjust legal fees for a future lawsuit and a plan to sue me, hoping to prevail on a financial judgment against me that would allow her to attach my personal assets. Her behavior only demonstrates taking advantage of a most vulnerable client. Attorney's Ms. Wildeveld and Ms. Rasmussen intention from the begining was not to protect my best interest but only to enrich themselves.

The only time I was ever presented with a billing invoice was after the fact on October 2, 2020 almost 3 weeks after the trial concluded on September 10, 2020.

On September 10, 2020 Johnnie delivered \$2000 to their office and reimbursed them for unforeseen out of pocket expenses such as the last minute

deposition transcript that Ms. Rasmussen ordered was expensive and other miscellaneous costs; he did not receive a receipt for this reimbursement. This same day he emailed Ms. Rasmussen to confirm that she received the envelope that contained the money, she did reply confirming such. However, Ms. Rasmussen deceptively demonstrates on her proof of claim the supposedly missed monthly payments and continues to intentionally misrepresent the reimbursement as a monthly payment or the continuation of a monthly payment to fit her narrative that I failed to make monthly payments.

On October 2, 2020 I received an email from Jessica Malone, Wildeveld's Legal Assistant with an attached Invoice number 196 requesting amount due upon receipt. I was blindsided by this invoice due to the fact that neither Ms. Rasmussen nor Ms. Wildeveld ever discussed their additional fees with me. I quickly glanced at the invoice and discovered several discrepancies referencing their rate \$600 and \$650 per hour. I immediately called Ms. Malone and asked her to clarify their hourly rate and explained the invoice was showing conflicting rates. I also requested a copy of the flat fee agreement/document that I signed, she stated that she would inform Ms. Rasmussen of the discrepancies and my request for a copy of the signed document that they are leaning on to

substantiate the billing Invoice. Ms. Malone stated she would check with Ms. Rasmussen and get back to me.

Finally almost 3 weeks later on October 21, 2020 @ 4:47p I received a voice mail from Ms. Malone as follows:

"Good afternoon this is Jessica calling from the law office of Kristina Wildeveld I'm giving you a call back regarding our previous conversation regarding your last invoice um just want to give you an update so there was a discrepancy all should read I think 600 um and Ms. Rasmussen was going to have that corrected and then forward me a corrected invoice with all the correct payments I think the 650 was an error they should all read 600 is what I think I understood and then her secretary is suppose also send me a copy of the fee agreement once I get that and the corrected invoice I will be sending you both of those I just wanted to circle back that we did look into it if you have any questions please feel free to give me a call back our number is 7022220007 7022220007 thanks so much have a good day."

Then on October 26, 2020 I received a voice message @ 9:18am from Martha calling on behalf of Ms. Wildeveld scheduling a meeting in her office for October 27, 2020 at 10am. I did not respond.

I received another call from Martha the same day @ 3:50pm to confirm meeting tomorrow at 10am. (these voice messages are available upon request)

Finally three plus weeks later from my initial request on October 2, 2020 for a copy of the fee agreement/document I signed and her hourly rate clarification I received an email on October 26, 2020 late afternoon from Ms. Rasmussen with

attachment noted "Revised Sept 29 Invoice.pdf" and "Hurst Fee Agreement.pdf" as follows:

"Hi Tracy,

I have fixed the billing entries that were listed at \$650. Those were in error, my current hourly rate is \$600. See the revised invoice attached hereto.

Also, the retainer agreement is attached hereto. It indicates that we bill hourly, which is how we do hourly cases. Our hourly rates changes at the beginning of each year so we stat that it is our "current standard rates" as indicated.

And finally, I think you asked if you are going to be billed to talk to both of us tomorrow. No we have never billed you for that when you have met with both of us and we would not do that tomorrow.

Look forward to talking to you. Lisa" (Exhibit F)

Her revised Invoice number 206 reflects her adjustment showing \$600 as her hourly rate. I was never informed throughout their entire representation or was ever discussed I was completely unaware of their hourly rate, hence not documented on their fee agreement this space was not filled in at the time I signed their generic document/fee agreement.

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES PROOF OF CLAIM #6 INVOICE NUMBER: 234 "EXHIBIT A" IS MISLEADING AND FILED IN BAD FAITH

Ms. Rasmussen in bad faith filed her proof of claim #6 evidencing her January 5, 2021 billing invoice showing a balance owed totaling \$8400.00; for the Invoice period: 9-30-2020 through December 30,

2020. Her evidenced claim is deceptive and clearly does not reflect the same with the billing Invoice number 234 that she emailed to me on January 5, 2020; specifically pages 4 & 5 that is filed in this case it is different from pages 4 & 5 that I received by email from Ms. Rasmussen on January 5, 2021. My copy of page 4 shows only one matter Castl vs PennyMac; however her page 4 she filed in this case reflects two matters Castl vs PennyMac and Castl vs GS, page 5 shows the same. Ms. Rasmussen's evidence marked as "Exhibit A" in her proof of claim the January 5, 2021 billing Invoice no. 234 does not reflect the same that she provided me, her actions are clearly deceptive and contemplated. (Exhibit G billing invoices 196, 206 & 234 that were provided to me from Ms. Malone and Ms. Rasmussen)

Also her Proof of Claim #6 page 4 states TRACY LEE HURST CASTL

PAYMENTS 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 "NP-No Payment Made, P-Payment Made" then showing payment made in April 2020 and another in September 2020, they are intentionally and purposely misleading me and the court. There is NO existing signed FEE AGREEMENT where I

agreed to make \$2000 monthly payments from April 2020 through January 2023, this is fabricated and untrue.

Furthermore, I was completely ambushed with her first billing Invoice number 196 which I received from her employee Jessica on October 2, 2020 and to me being highly questionable, fraught with inconsistencies, showing hourly rate discrepancies. Then on October 26, 2020 almost 3 weeks later I received Ms. Rasmussen's Revised Invoice number 206.

Ms. Rasmussen's email to me dated October 26, 2020 states:

"Hi Tracy, I have fixed the billing entries that were listed at \$650. Those were in error, my current hourly rate is \$600. See the revised invoice attached hereto.

Also, the retainer agreement is attached hereto. It indicates that we bill hourly, which is how we do hourly cases. Our hourly rates changes at the beginning of each year so we state that it is our "current standard rates as indicated.

And finally, I think you asked if you are going to be billed to talk to both of us tomorrow. No, we never billed you for that when you have met with both of us and we would not do that tomorrow.

Looking forward to talking to you. Lisa" (Exhibit F)

On October 27, 2020 one day after receiving Ms. Rasmussen's email is the first time that the billing Invoice was discussed in a telephone conversation with Attorneys Wildeveld and Rasmussen in their mission in seeking payment. During this conversation I questioned their charges and discussed the chain of events leading up to them ambushing me with their erroneous billing invoice and it is to be noted that Attorneys Wildeveld and Rasmumssen never accused me of falling behind on monthly payments nor was there any discussion about me missing 5 month's worth of \$2000 in monthly payments. I was shocked with their accusations of me promising to pay them from my car accident settlement along with other untruthful statements. I was stunned and became non responsive, and in shock unable to defend myself as their tone was sternly forceful, aggressive and both bullying in nature. Attorney's Wildeveld and Rasmussen collectively verbally and emotionally abused me in this conversation so much that I was extremely traumatized, witnessed by Johnnie Castl; thereafter I

refused any further verbal communication or personal contact with them or their office employees by phone or in-person.

Despite them being clearly aware from the onset of their representation, me having zero financial means, and knowing I was living on family contributions throughout my ongoing medical crisis to present, they clearly knew that I lacked the ability to pay legal fees but then without my knowledge deceptively continued to create further billing hours through January 2023.

Sometime in early December 2020 Ms. Rasmussen stated that she could no longer represent me due to my outstanding balance. On December 18, 2020 I emailed Ms. Rasmussen the following:

"Hi Lisa, I'm not surprised about the order; however I understand your position. I request that you sign and file a Substitution of Counsel to myself as I have no other choice than to proceed in Proper Person.

Additionally I request that you provide me with copies of all email communication or documents that you have pertaining to my case with Opposing Counsel, Court or any other third party.

Please advise Patty and Kristina that my resources are exhausted at this time and actively seeking a solution aside health priorities."

Ms. Rasmussen response to email dated December 18, 2020 as follows:

"Hi Tracy, The substitution of attorney is attached for your signature.

I will prepare the file for you. I will provide it to you in electronic format on a flash drive and we will let you know when it is ready for pickup. Please sign and return this substitution of attorney for me. Thank you, Lisa."

I signed the Substitution of Attorney that lacked a signature line, I wrote in the signature line and signed, then returned to her by fax the same day. On December 29, 2020 I received an email from Ms.

Rasmussen as follows:

"Substitution of Attorney Hi Tracy I still need your signature on the Substitution of Attorney, attached. And a reminder, your deadline to file a notice of appeal is Thursday, 12/31. I would like to get the substitution of attorney filed prior to that, or tomorrow. Lisa" On December 30, 2020 I responded to Ms. Rasmussen's email as follows:

"Signed Substitution of Attorney 12/18/2020
Lisa, Yes I signed and faxed to you on 12/18/20; however you did not leave me a signature line so I wrote it in and signed it under my information and faxed it to you on 12/18, see copy of fax and confirmation below. I noticed on this new document there is a signature line for me on the second page. Please check with whoever handles your incoming faxes. Let me know. Thanks"

Ms. Rasmussen response to email as follows:

"Hi Tracy, Sorry for the confusion of the sub of attorney. I must have sent you one without a signature line and did not intend to. I also did not see a fax, so thank you for emailing me the signature. I have filed it and a file stamped copy of attached."

However this January 5, 2021 billing Invoice number 234 reflects charges after December 18, 2020 through January 5, 2021 even though I signed and faxed the Substitution of Attorney back to her on December 18, 2020.

Also their billing Invoice's 196 & 206 reflects that they started billing me on April 9, 2020 prior to me signing their generic document/flat fee agreement on April 14, 2020.

Additionally on their Proof of Claim no. 6, on page 8 and their page 4 of the billing invoice titled Client Statement of Account as of 8-31-2021 which I was never provided reflects two matters first Castl vs GS with an assigned billing invoice number 252 which was withheld from me and the second matter being Castl vs PennyMac. Castl vs GS is the medical malpractice case showing that they were tracking billable hours in the amount of \$6,886.30 even though it was agreed the case would be billed on a contingency fee. I was never aware or provided this billing invoice number 252 nor was I ever provided a withdrawal letter from Attorney Wildeveld or Rasmussen.

However unbeknownst to me they failed to timely preserve the medical malpractice claim severely damaging my right to collect a well deserved financial settlement due to gross negligence for clear medical malpractice against the Cedar Sinai surgeons, despite them receiving correspondence from the surgeon's insurance carrier, they purposely ignored and failed to respond to the Sr. Professional Liability Claims Specialist Ms. Kate LoCurto's request for additional information

(Exhibit K) even though they created a separate account for the medical malpractice matter, they also sought and retained my medical records, it was possible having the legal knowledge and expertise with not much effort to attempt and negotiate a sizable financial settlement without having to file a lawsuit. Furthermore, without my knowledge the Wildeveld Law Firm deliberately sabotaged this case severely harming me emotionally and financially. With their 20 plus years experience of practicing law their actions are blatant and considered to be gross negligence with contemplative intention to destroy me.

The second matter shows Castl vs. PennyMac, collectively agreeing to a flat fee of \$5000 to only continue the trial date which was not difficult due to the Governors covid emergency orders referencing non essential trials and collectively with me in a medical crisis having excusable cause to postpone the trial.

Attorney's Ms. Wildeveld and Ms. Rasmussen never discussed trial fees with me nor did they present me with a fee agreement to sign addressing such. I was bullied and forced into a trial by Ms. Rasmussen;

in bad faith and without my knowledge she failed to notify the Judge as was requested evidenced by her email to me on May 5, 2020. As a legal professional having many years of experience she should have known that her last minute Motion to Continue Trial Date would be denied, her intention is clear she only was interested in creating additional work to accumulate more billable hours to inflate the balance, knowing I was unable to pay additional legal fees.

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES PROOF OF CLAIM #6 GENERIC FEE AGREEMENT-DATED APRIL 14, 2020 FILED IN THIS CASE AS "EXHIBIT B"

Firstly, their version of a fee agreement is a generic form document in nature, untitled and designed for a criminal case client. This agreement/document is extremely unprofessional and not in line with legal professional standards; it is inadequate, inappropriate and its ambiguity seems purposeful. This document is intentionally designed to mislead a client and is open for nefarious alterations after execution. It grossly fails legitimacy in its entirety failing to outline or reflect their specific scope of work and also fails to

identify case or number, clearly lacks the actual mutually agreed upon terms for representation.

This proposed fee agreement/document was presented to me upon paying the first installment of \$2500 on the agreed amount of a flat fee \$5000 and the last installment was paid on April 20, 2020 as mutually agreed and promised. The receptionist asked me to fill out my personal information and sign where shown.

After filling out my personal information and paying the first installment of \$2500 the receptionist noted the \$2500 in the bottom right-hand corner of the document and gave me a paper receipt showing:

"date 4/14/20 No.071282 received from Tracy Hurst-Castl \$2500.00 amount two thousand five hundred 00/100 for payment of Attorney fee"

GENERIC DOCUMENT/FEE AGREEMENT FOR THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES FILED IN THEIR PROOF OF CLAIM # 6 EVIDENCED AS "EXHIBIT B"

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES

"CLIENT INFORMATION"

"STOP: OFFICE USE ONLY	
Fees:	(left blank) fixed, non-refundable FLAT FEE (this was crossed
out and this	was added: "S & d. ofc. hourly rates" (this was not crossed out or
written in u	pon me signing at the bottom of the page and on the next line it
states:	

The fee is to be paid as follows: \$(left blank)initial retainer paid up
front; remaining balance payable at a rate of at least \$ "2000" (the 2000 was
written in after I signed it)per month commencing on the payment date
indicated below." (below fails to state what date the payment would start).
"AGREEMENT FOR REPRESENTATION: I HEREBY RETAIN KRISTINA WILDEVELD, ESQ. & ASSOCIATES ("Law Firm") The Law Firm is hereby engaged to represent: (left blank) (client) in the above matter(s)"
Retainer: \$ 2,500.00 (written in by receptionist in front of me) Payment Dates: 1/monthly
Note: payment dates were blank and "monthly" was handwritten in not in my presence, it was blank and not completed upon me signing the document.
Then it states "remaining balance payable at a rate of at least \$per
month" this was blank when I signed the document, the "2000" was obviously
hand written in, lacking my initials beside it, I believe this was unlawfully added
after the fact to substantiate the balance they claim I owe. I allege this document
was nefariously altered to fit their narrative of me owing them outstanding legal
fees. I believe these actions were contemplated and intentional.

Additionally they failed to provide me a copy of the signed document at the onset of their representation, only receiving a copy after I requested it from Ms.

Malone long after the Castl vs. PennyMac trial concluded and upon receiving their revised Invoice No. 206 on October 26, 2020 as evidenced by Ms. Rasmussen email attachment dated the same.

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES COMMITTED MANY ATTORNEY – CLIENT PRIVILAGE VIOLATIONS CONTINUALLY EXPOSING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INCLUDING DEFLAMATION OF CHARACTER-COMMITING LIBEL AND SLANDEROUS ACTS AGAINST TRACY L. HURST CASTL

In conclusion as a most vulnerable client they intentionally took extreme advantage of my medical and mental disabilities causing me further harm using the most unethical tactics with an uncertain motive other than money. They broke their promise and breached their contract neglecting to uphold their fiduciary responsibility to properly protect and defend me.

Additionally, attorney-client privilege protects confidential communications between lawyers and their clients from being revealed. Attorneys are required to keep these communications secret; however, they purposely violated my privilege and ignored their ethical obligation to me as their client, exposing confidential communication on numerous occasions, despite knowing their tactics are clear violations and considered legal malpractice.

During their representation and while defending me they committed several HIPPA violations when filing certain motions in the Castl vs. Pennymac civil case purposely failed to redact personal information, exposing a complete set of my hospital and medical records from a prior hospital stay that I provided Ms.

Rasmussen for use in the medical malpractice case. However, Ms. Rasmussen felt it necessary to utilize my private medical records in the civil case despite having surgeon letters to validate her augment to prevail on a motion to continue trial date, which ultimately failed because her filing was untimely and she failed purposely ignoring the Judges prior request.

On February 23, 2021 The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates filed a lawsuit against me, knowingly used false allegations of FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, and INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION to purposely and maliciously defame my character committing many acts of libel and slander. Their unlawful and cruel actions against me have severely damaged my reputation by purposely filing these false allegations in a public forum, knowing that their accusations are untrue.

The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates demonstrated such gross negligence when they failed to file a timely medical malpractice complaint and failed to advise me otherwise causing me extreme anguish and emotional duress. Because of all the stated facts evidenced herein THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES PROOF OF CLAIM #6 SHOULD BE REJECTED, DENIED AND DEEMED INVALID DUE TO INTENTIONAL MALICIOUS

MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUDULENTLY ALTERING A SIGNED DOCUMENT AFTER EXECUTION.

Lastly, on March 20, 2023, I memorialized my intent to file a legal malpractice complaint against THE LAW OFFICES OF KRISTINA WILDEVELD & ASSOCIATES as stated on "Official Form 106A/B, Schedule A/B: Property, Part 4,". In this bankruptcy case.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

DATED THIS 6TH DAY OF MAY, 2023

Cracy Lee Dariel Cartle Tracy Lee Hurst-Castl